

Traditional CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY Performance Appraisal

Employee Name: Employee ID #: Title: CU Hire Date: Appraisal Period: Due Date:						Supervise Supervise Title: Department	or ID #:					
					/2023 t	to 12/31/2023 Length of	f time you have supervised employee Years Months					
Due	o Date	•				ONAL AREAS OF RE						
						Rating Standar	ds					
		Not Ap	oplicat	ole -	The em	ployee is not required to perform in	n a specific rating factor and it cannot be measured.					
Unaccepta												
	Improv	ement	Need	Work performance does not consistently meet the standards of performance for the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.								
	Meets Expectation				Work performance consistently meets the standards of performance for the position.							
	Exceed				Nork pe	erformance consistently exceeds the	ne standards of performance for the position.					
		<u>Out</u>	<u>standi</u>	- 1		erformance is consistently and sign of for the position.	nificantly superior to the standards of performance					
Not Appl	Outstanding Exceeds Exp Meets Exp Impr Needed Unaccept		Rating Factors	Evaluate each of the rating factors below by checking the appropriate box to the left of each factor. (For those factors not evaluated, please check "Not Applicable.") Pertinent comments may be made in the box provided to the right of the factor. They are required for ratings of "Unacceptable" or "Improvement Needed," and are encouraged for ratings of "Outstanding".								
ech	nical S	kills (F	ffectiv	/eness	with w	hich the employee applies job kno	wledge and skill to job assignments)					
						Job knowledge	Comments (if Appropriate)					
					Analyzes Problems							
						Provides Suggestions for Work						
						Improvement Employs Tools of the Job						
						Competently Follows Proper Safety	_					
						Procedures						
uali	ty of W	ork (N	<u>lanne</u>	r in wh	ich the	employee completes job assignme						
						Accuracy or Precision	Comments (if Appropriate)					
	Thoroughness/Ne		Thoroughness/Neatness									
						Reliability	7					
						Responsiveness to Requests for Service						
						Follow Through / Follow Up						
					Judgment/Decision Making							

Not appl	Unaccept	Impr Needed	Meets Exp	Exceeds Exp	Outstanding	Rating Factors	Evaluate each of the rating factors below by checking the appropriate box to the left of each factor. (For those factors not evaluated, please check "Not Applicable.") Pertinent comments may be made in the box provided to the right of the factor. They are required for ratings of "Unacceptable" or "Improvement Needed," and are encouraged for ratings of "Outstanding".				
nterp	erson	al Skil	ls (Effe	ectiver	ess of	the employee's interactions with other					
						With Co-Workers	Comments (if Appropriate)				
						With Supervisors					
						With Other Faculty, Staff Students, and/or the Community					
						Team Participation					
						Shares Information Willingly					
						Commitment to Team Success					
omm	nunica	ition S	kille (l	f annli	cahla f	for to the job)					
<i>-</i>			Kill3 (I	Тарріі		Written Expression	Comments (if Appropriate)				
						Oral Expression					
						Tact and Diplomacy					
opro	pach to	Work	(Chai	racteris	stics th	e employee demonstrates while performance Actively Seeks Ways to Streamline Processes Open to New Ideas and Approaches Initiative	Comming job assignments) Comments (if Appropriate)				
						Planning and Organization					
						Flexible/Adaptable Follows Instructions					
						Challenges Status Quo Processes in Appropriate Ways Seeks Additional Training and Development					
						Attendance					
			·- ·		1						
uant	ity of	vvork	∟mplo	yee's	succes	ss in producing the required amount of Priority Setting	Comments (if Appropriate)				
						Amount of Work Completed					
						Work Completed on Schedule					
		1		1	1	ı	<u> </u>				

Not Appl	Unaccept	Impr Needed	Meets Exp	Exceeds Exp	Outstanding	Rating Factors	Evaluate each of the rating factors below by checking the appropriate box to the left of each factor. (For those factors not evaluated, please check "Not Applicable.") Pertinent comments may be made in the box provided to the right of the factor. They are required for ratings of "Unacceptable" or "Improvement Needed," and are encouraged for ratings of "Outstanding".
----------	----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	----------------	--

Supervisory/Leadership Skills (applies only to employee who is a manager, supervisor, or lead)

Supervisory/Leadership Skills (applies only to employee who is a manager,	
	Support of CU Diversity Efforts/Programs	Comments (if Appropriate)
	Trains and Develops Staff	
	Properly Aligns Responsibility, Accountability, and Authority	
	Evaluates Staff Regularly	
	Faces Performance Problems Squarely	
	Supports Responsible Risk Taking	
	Controls Costs and Maximizes Resources	
	Instills Pride in Performance, Service, Innovation, and Quality	
	Sets High Standards for Self as Well as others	
	Employs Broad Institutional Goals in Evaluating Unit Effectiveness	
	Supports Useful Debate and Disagreement	
	Welcomes Constructive Criticism	
	Fosters Respect for Facts, Data, and Objective Analysis	
	Uses Analytical Tools and Models for Process Improvement	
	Uses Data to Measure Outcomes, Track Quality, and Enable Improvement	
	Sets Specific Goals for Simplicity Productivity, and Process Improvements	
	Supports Experimentation and Brainstorming that leads to Innovation and Learning	

OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATING									
	UNACCEPTABLE		IMPROVEMENT NEEDED		MEETS EXPECTATIONS		EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS		OUTSTANDING
inade meet perfo the p at thi	s performance is equate and fails to the standards of ormance required for osition. Performance is level cannot be red to continue.	consi stand for th effort	performance does not stently meet the lards of performance e position. Serious is needed to improve rmance.	consi stand	performance stently meets the lards of performance e position.	consi stand	performance stently exceeds the lards of performance for osition.	consi signif stand	performance is stently and icantly superior to the lards of performance red for the position.

Place and "X" in the box which describes the employee's overall performance rating.

<u>Important:</u> If an employee's overall performance is rated as either "Unacceptable" or "Improvement Needed", please contact the <u>Employee Relations team</u> in the Office of Human Resources prior to meeting with the employee.

Supervisor's Comments	
Employee Comment / Reactions	

-Confidential-

Employee Signature:	Date:								
I have read and discussed this evaluation with my supervisor and I understand its contents. My signature means that I have been advised of my performance status and does not necessarily imply that I agree with either the appraisal or the contents.									
Supervisor	Department Head								
Signature:	Signature:								
Date:	Date:								